

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/004,803	01/09/1998	JASON T. EPPS		1682
7	590 03/26/2003			
John R. Merkling			EXAMINER	
310 South Yau Richwood, TX			STRIMBU, GREGORY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				\mathcal{S}			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/004,803	EPPS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gregory J. Strimbu	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply							
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.J	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9,12-16,18 and 19</u> is/are pendir						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wit from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 9, 12-16, 18, 19</u> is/are rejected	.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *		•			
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	_			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-4, 7, 9, 13-15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Although the applicant originally disclosed the concepts of focusing the sensors upwardly and focusing the sensors at an angle of approximately 10 degrees from the vertical, the applicant has not disclosed the specific range of no more than about 10 degrees from the vertical. Even though the terminology "upwardly" includes the range of no more than about 10 degrees from the vertical, the applicant must clearly set forth the specific range of no more than about 10 degrees from the vertical in order to show that the applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 12-16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "an extended arm" on line 9 of claim 1 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the applicant is referring to the object set forth above or is attempting to set forth another object in addition to the one set forth above. Claim 1

Art Unit: 3634

sets forth an object on line 7 of claim 1 and then sets forth another object, i.e., the arm, on line 10 of claim 1. Therefore, it is unclear if the arm is referring to the object set forth above or is setting forth another object in addition to the one set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 5, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art of figure 5 in view of Jonsson '912. The admitted prior art in figure 5 discloses a fast food service window comprising a window assembly with at least one movable window member 16, a window operator assembly (not shown, but disposed behind upper frame member 21) mechanically coupled to the movable window member, a proximity sensor comprising an emitter 61 emitting radiation and a receiver 62 receiving radiation from the emitter, the radiation being reflected from an object being sensed, the sensor being functionally coupled to the window operator assembly and directed to detect an extended arm of a person when the arm is extended over the proximity sensor, wherein the movable window member opens whenever the extended arm of the person is sensed by the proximity sensor. The admitted prior art of figure 5 is silent concerning the sensor being focused upward.

However, Jonsson '912 discloses a sensor 10 having a plurality of integral infrared emitters 14 and sensors 16. The sensors 16 and the emitters 14 are aligned upwardly as shown in figure 4. The sensor 10 is angled at an angle slightly askew from a vertical axis such that it will only operate the door when a person is in a

Art Unit: 3634

predetermined desired position and will operate the door when an extended arm of a person is over the proximity sensor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the admitted prior art of figure 5 with upwardly focused sensors, as taught by Jonsson '912, to only operate the window when a person is in a desired predetermined position.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record, absent applicant's own disclosure, fails to teach the entire combination of elements set forth in the claimed invention. Specifically, the prior art of record fails to teach the proximity sensor being surrounded by a ring which rises above the sensor. See lines 1-2 of claim 13. Additionally, the prior art of record fails to teach the proximity sensor has a lens and projection extending beyond the lens a distance sufficient to inhibit objects approaching the leans from disabling the functioning of the proximity sensor before the extended arm is detected. See lines 2-3 if claim 15.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 17, 2003 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3634

With respect to the applicant's comments concerning the language "not more than about 10 degrees", the examiner respectfully disagrees. The disclosure clearly sets forth that the sensors are angle upwardly at a preferred angle of about 10 degrees. See lines 9-15 of page 8. The recitation "not more than about 10 degrees" includes angles not supported by the disclosure such as an angle of 1 degree. Therefore, the disclosure does not support such a recitation.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/004,803 Page 6

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634 March 25, 2003